Module # 2 ~ Component # 3

The Comfort Zone Concept & Man – Eaters
Introduction

Animals have three psychological zones around them. The size and shape of these zones is dependent on the species, sex and individual nature of the individual animal, with adjustments made as the mood changes. Animals rely on their senses to warn them of intrusions into these zones, so conditions that inhibit these senses will also affect them. As a rule, animals will be aware of a human presence and will move off long before the human is aware of them.

If an approach is made so that both the human and the animal become aware of one another at the same time, the animal will react in one of four different ways:
The Comfort Zone

If the human is outside the comfort zone, the animal will generally continue its activity undisturbed, but will keep an eye open for further movements. This is the area where the professional and ethical guide operates, as the guest experience is safe and the sighting is of an animal behaving naturally.

If the comfort zone is intruded upon, the animal will move off to try to maintain the distance. The ethical guide will then stop moving to allow the animal to relax and continue its activity without further disturbance.
The Flight Zone

If the flight zone is entered the animal will flee without hesitation or start giving warning signs to indicate that it feels threatened. While this often happens without intention, the ethical guide will try to prevent it. The only option is to back off as safely and as speedily as possible and to maintain a safe distance from the animal while moving around it.
The Fight Zone

If the fight zone is entered the animal has no other option than to attack to defend itself. This is the most dangerous situation, and one that a professional guide will try to avoid at all costs.
Aggressive & Warning Behaviour Patterns

When an animal is unsure of what action to take due to heightened psychological stress levels it will often display displacement behaviour, this entails a common or normal action that is carried out but is not done properly. E.g. Elephants may appear to be feeding, but no food is transferred to the mouth, or a lion may start grooming itself, but does not actually do it properly. These are often the first signs that an animal is uneasy with the presence of humans.

Warning behaviour takes on many forms and is intended to show the intruder that their presence is not wanted and to prevent a closer approach. As most animal communication is visual, many of the warnings involve body posture, facial gestures or the lifting of body parts, displaying of defensive weapons or pilo-erection.

Vocalizations or expelling air to produce a hiss or spit are more intense and designed to show acute displeasure. Kicking up dust and destroying vegetation are overt displacement signs and are used for the same reason.

It is imperative for a guide to be able to recognize these signs in all animals so that the least amount of pressure is put on them. The understanding of dangerous animal behaviour is essential to stay out of a dangerous confrontation which may be lethal to either a human or the animal. While the fundamentals of animal behaviour can be learned theoretically, the best way to get to know the signs is by observation and experience.

See the Module # 1 for a general overview of specific animal's behaviour
Conclusion

Obviously, each species and in fact each individual will react differently. Animals may have become habituated and accustomed to the presence of people or may be inherently aggressive.

There are no hard and fast rules here whatsoever. Many a field guide has gotten themselves into a dangerous situation because they felt that they ‘knew’ that animal, and ‘what it was going to do’ having encountered it frequently.

Just because a specific individual acted in the same fashion repeatedly, does not mean that it will continue to do so. There are a multitude of factors that may be influencing its behaviour on any specific day.

The only thing that a field guide can rely on, is an animal’s unpredictability – expect it and you’ll be able to handle the resulting situation.
Man – Eaters

Since Africa is reasonably under populated, man-eaters are not as common in Africa as in Asia. African man-eaters are possibly not as well reported or documented. In most of the rural populations, such deaths are a fact of life and communication is limited. A lot of so-called cases of man-eating is also mixed up in ritual sacrifices, cults, and the belief in spirits taking on animal forms.

Lions, and rarely leopard, have been recorded as being man-eaters, while spotted hyaena usually maim without killing. Crocodiles too have been responsible, but as they consider humans as natural prey the shock effect of crocodile attacks seems to be less acute when reported in the media.

Quite why some animals become man-eaters is uncertain, it is certainly not a natural behaviour pattern. In some cases, the animal may become, due to age or injury, unable to hunt its normal prey effectively. Hunger may drive them to attack and eat humans which are far easier to catch and kill.

On occasion, human activities such as wars may lead to an outbreak of man-eating as human corpses are left lying around and are scavenged upon. Most predators will take an easy meal when available and the transfer from dead people to living ones is reasonably simple. In areas where natural prey species have been decimated by over-hunting or drought, man-eating has occurred when starvation forced the local cat population to turn to humans as the only available prey.
Many man-eating lions are single animals and very often in good health. Some reported cases are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lion / Pride</th>
<th>Number of confirmed Human kills</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsavo (Coalition of two young males known as the Ghost &amp; The Darkness)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namvelieza (The cunning one)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambisi male</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chienge Charlie</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golis Mountains male</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mporokse Pride</td>
<td>1000 victims in 10 years</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Njombe Pride</td>
<td>2000 victims in 25 years</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1932, another famous series of attacks commenced in Tanzania near the southern town of Njombe.

It took until 1947 to kill the final 15 lions from the pride and by this time over 1,000 people had been killed, with some being eaten. The lions of Njombe were a particularly interesting case as there seemed no obvious reason why they should start attacking man; their normal prey was in abundance and the cats were healthy.

Man-eating leopards are rare, but generally are males in their prime. Interestingly their individual 'scores' seem to be higher than those of lions, perhaps because of their relative size and they are more secretive by nature.
Man Eaters in The Kruger National Park

There has been much speculation about the status of man-eating lions in the Kruger National Park. The official view from Park authorities is that it has occurred in the past but is no longer a phenomenon. Therefore, it must be stressed that what follows are unconfirmed reports based largely on hearsay and anecdotal evidence.

The man-eating lion in the KNP are not hunting tourists. They are hunting illegal immigrants from Mozambique, who choose to venture through the Park as a means of entering South Africa, as the 2 countries’ common border is the Kruger.

Some reports have indicated that when a pride is found to have killed these people they are summarily destroyed. This follows the thought that once a lion eats a human they won’t go back to hunting game, the inference is that they get a ‘taste’ for us.

This is false, the only reason why we may become preferred prey, is that we are:

- Easier to catch
- Easier to eat

Whether certain lions become fixated on us as prey and refuse to hunt their natural quarry, is still a matter of conjecture.

One other unconfirmed report concerns certain individual lions that exclusively hunt in the power line corridors of the Park. It is well known by authorities that the Mozambique illegals use these same corridors as a means of traversing the Park in a relatively straight line so as not to get lost. Now whether the lions are staying in these areas to catch Mozambicans or simply normal prey in less dense bush is another matter still to be concluded.